

SUBMITTED VIA ECFS

**BEFORE THE
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554**

**In the Matter of
Request for Review by UTEX
Communications Corp. d/b/a
FeatureGroup IP (Filer ID 825102) of
Decision of Universal Service
Administrator**

§
§
§
§
§
§

WC Docket No. 06-122

**UTEX COMMUNICATIONS CORP. d/b/a FEATUREGROUP IP REPLY COMMENTS
ON REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF USAC ADMINISTRATOR DECISION**

W. Scott McCollough
General Counsel
1250 S Capital of Texas Hwy
Bldg 2-235
West Lake Hills, TX 78746
(V) 512.888.1112
(FAX) 512.692.2522
scott@worldcall.net

February 21, 2012

SUBMITTED VIA ECFS

**BEFORE THE
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554**

**In the Matter of
Request for Review by UTEX
Communications Corp. d/b/a
FeatureGroup IP (Filer ID 825102) of
Decision of Universal Service
Administrator**

§
§
§
§
§
§

WC Docket No. 06-122

**UTEX COMMUNICATIONS CORP. d/b/a FEATUREGROUP IP REPLY COMMENTS
ON REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF USAC ADMINISTRATOR DECISION**

NOW COMES UTEX COMMUNICATIONS CORP d/b/a FEATUREGROUP IP

(“FeatureGroup IP”) and submits its Reply Comments.

On December 19, 2011, FeatureGroup IP timely sought review of a Decision rendered by the USAC Administrator. The Bureau issued an order establishing the comment cycle with Comments due February 6, 2012 and Reply Comments due February 21, 2012. *See* Wireline Competition Bureau Seeks Comment on UTEX Communications Corp. d/b/a FeatureGroup IP Request for Review of a Decision by the Universal Service Administrative Company, WC Docket No. 06-122, DA 12-9 (rel. January 5, 2012). As the Bureau noted in the order, “[FeatureGroup IP] seeks review and reversal of a decision by the Universal Service Administrative Company, which among other things, assessed late fees and penalties associated with UTEX's 2009 FCC Form 499-A and rejected revisions to a number of FCC Forms 499-A filed prior to 2009.”

Initial Comments were due on February 6, 2012. As far as FeatureGroup IP can determine from its files and ECFS not a single comment was filed by any carrier, contributor, registrant or even USAC. Nor do there appear to have been any *ex parte* communications that relate to the Request for Review. Therefore, FeatureGroup IP has nothing to which it can reply.

The lack of opposition by any interested person – including USAC itself – clearly demonstrates that FeatureGroup IP's contentions, legal positions and request for relief have compelling and sound legal and factual foundations and there is no basis in the record upon which to deny the requested relief. Therefore, the challenged actions and determinations must be reversed and FeatureGroup IP must be given all of the requested relief.

FeatureGroup IP therefore respectfully reiterates its request that the Commission grant this Request for Review, hold that the Decision is erroneous for the reasons stated in the Request for Review, and instruct USAC to take the actions sought therein.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, UTEX Communications Corp. d/b/a FeatureGroup IP requests that the Commission grant the Request for Review and issue an Order instructing USAC to correct the errors identified in the Request for Review and promptly remit FeatureGroup IP's full credit in useable funds.

Respectfully Submitted,

UTEX COMMUNICATIONS CORP.

~~d/b/a FEATURE GROUP IP~~

by: _____

W. Scott McCollough

General Counsel

1250 S Capital of Texas Hwy

Bldg 2-235

West Lake Hills, TX 78746

(V) 512.888.1112

(FAX) 512.692.2522

scott@worldcall.net

February 21, 2012